

www.rigeo.org

REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL GEOGRAPHICAL EDUCATION

ISSN: 2146-0353 • © RIGEO • 11(5), SPRING, 2021

Research Article

The Influence of Firm Size, Leverage, and Liquidity on Company Performance through Dividend Policy in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2015 - 2019 Period

Neneng Susanti¹

Lecturer of the Faculty of Economics and Busines, Widyatama University <u>neneng.susanti@widyatama.ac.id</u>

Najlaa Nadhiyaa Yaasmiin³

Student of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Widyatama Univesity

najlaa.nadhiyaa@widyatama.ac.id Devita Zantika Ramadanti⁵ Student of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Widyatama Univesity

devita.zantika@widyatama.ac.id

Muhamad Andhika Dwi Nugraha²

Student of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Widyatama Univesity <u>andhika.nugraha@widyatama.ac.id</u> Naila Maghfiroh⁴

Student of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Widyatama Univesity naila.maghfiroh@widyatama.ac.id

Yudhi Pratama Nasution⁶

Student of the Faculty of Economics and Business Widyatama Univesity pratama.nasution@widyatama.ac.id

¹ Corresponding Author: Lecturer of the Faculty of Economics and Busines, Widyatama University Email: <u>neneng.susanti@widyatama.ac.id</u>

Keywords Firm Size, Leverage, Liquidity, Company Performance, Dividend Policy

To cite this article: Susanti, N.; Nugraha, M, A, D.; Yaasmiin, N, N.; Maghfiroh, N.; Ramadanti, D, Z.; and Nasution, Y, P. (2021) The Influence of Firm Size, Leverage, and Liquidity on Company Performance through Dividend Policy in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2015 - 2019 Period. Review of International Geographical Education (RIGEO), 11(5), 3225-3237. doi: 10.48047/rigeo.11.05.213

Submitted: 07-10-2020 • Revised: 09-12-2020 • Accepted: 11-02-2021

Abstract

This study aims to influence company size, leverage, and liquidity on company performance with dividend policy as an intervention variable in manufacturing sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015 - 2019. This type of research is an associative clause. The population used in this study are manufacturing sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2015 to 2019. The type of data used in this study is secondary data and data using the documentation method. While the sampling in this study used purposive sampling technique. The research data were as many as 140 companies and a sample of 42 companies. The analysis technique used is multiple linear regression and multiple test. The results of this study indicate that the company has no effect on dividend policy, leverage affects dividend policy, and affects dividend policy. Whereas its relationship with company performance shows that the results show that the company has no effect on company performance, leverage has an effect on company performance.

Introduction

Every company that is established must have clear objectives, short-term goals and long-term goals. The company's goal in the short term is to achieve maximum profit by utilizing the available resources. The goal in the long term is to maximize the prosperity of the company's shareholders which can be achieved by increasing the value of the company. To realize the company's goals, it is necessary to have sufficient funds for business capital needs. (Lamtiar et al., 2021) states that to meet the needs of funds in order to increase the value of the company in order to remain good and competitive, one way that can be taken is to issue shares to the capital market.

The company's financial performance describes the implementation of the company's work plan planned by the company so that the performance of management implementation for the following year can be evaluated and improved. The company's financial performance is evaluated through the management decision-making process, because it involves the effectiveness of the use of capital and the efficiency of the company's operations. Companies use performance evaluation to improve their operations in order to compete with other companies.

The performance of a company is a description of the business results that the company has achieved in a period. With an assessment of a company's performance, the company will know how much the results of the efforts have been made and know the weaknesses that exist in the company, so that for the next period it can be corrected for these weaknesses. According to Robinson (2020). Information regarding financial performance will be very important for investors as a means of making investment decisions. In investing, investors must be selective in choosing where to invest.

According to Gârleanu, Panageas, and Yu (2020), investment is a commitment to a number of funds or other resources carried out at this time, with the aim of obtaining a number of benefits in the future. Investment decisions are related to the process of selecting one or more investment alternatives that are considered profitable from a number of investment alternatives available to the company (Alshbili, Elamer, & Beddewela, 2019). Investment decisions are influenced by the availability of company funds that come from internal sources of funding and external sources of funding. Making this investment decision is a difficult choice for company management because it will affect the value of the company. The higher the investment decision set by the company, the greater the chance for the company to get a high return (Ramlah, 2021).

Companies that carry out high investment activities are able to influence investors' perceptions of the company, thereby increasing demand for the company's shares. If the investment decisions can be made correctly, then the company's growth will bring benefits in the future. The company's growth is expected to be directly proportional to the movement of company value. This is in accordance with the signaling theory which explains the relationship between investment and firm value, where investment is an important factor in generating company profits, so that in the end the company's value will increase (Murniati, Mus, Semmaila, & Nur, 2019).

In Indonesia, investor interest in investing continues to increase. This is marked by the increase in the number of Single Investor Identification (SID) from 2016 to mid-2020. Investors are required to have SID since 2012, in accordance with the Decree of the Chairman of the Capital Market and Financial Institution Supervisory Agency No. KEP-327 / BL / 2012.



Figure 1. Growth in the Number of SID Investors in the Capital Market in 2017 - 30 July 2020 Source: www.bareksa.com

Based on Figure 1, the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) announced that the number of new investors created throughout 2020 on the capital market has grown 21.66% of the total number of investors in 2019. The number of stock investors in mid-year has reached 3,022,366 Single Investor Identification (SID). There is a growth of 538,012 SID when compared to the total number of stock investors at the end of 2019 which amounted to 2,484,354 SID. From this data, it can be said that the potential interest of new investors to invest continues to grow.

Dividend policy is a management policy to decide whether the profits earned by the company will be distributed to shareholders as dividends or retained in the form of retained earnings for future investment financing. There are several important factors that influence dividend policy, namely available investment opportunities, availability and cost of alternative capital, as well as shareholder preferences to receive current income or receive it in the future (Murniati et al., 2019). The achievement of the company's goals for shareholders is done by obtaining optimal profits, then the company's performance will be assessed well by investors and responded positively by the market as indicated by the increasing demand for company shares. So that dividend policy is important for shareholders to meet their expectations and on the other hand it does not hinder the company's growth. Companies use dividends as a way to show outsiders or investors the stability and growth prospects of the company in the future. This is indirectly related to company performance appraisal. Dividend policy is important because it affects the company's investment opportunities, stock prices, asset management and the company's financial performance. In other words, dividend policy provides information about firm value.

Based on this phenomenon, it shows that there is an increase in company performance. The increasing number of capital market investors can be used by companies to obtain additional funds or capital from external parties. In making investment decisions, external parties consider the financial performance stated in the financial statements because the more efficient a company's financial performance is, it is expected that the company will be able to generate maximum profits and be able to provide returns so that it will attract investors to invest. One alternative to investing is in manufacturing sector companies, because investment in this sector still provides opportunities for maximum profit.

The following is the fluctuation of the average ROA in manufacturing companies in the goods and consumer industry sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in 2015 - 2019 which is illustrated in the following graph:



Figure 2 Average Financial Performance (ROA) of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2015 - 2019 Source: www.idx.co.id (data reprocessed)

Perced on Figure 2 it can be seen that the average A

Based on Figure 2 it can be seen that the average Manufacturing company Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015-2019 has fluctuated but tends to decline. In 2015, the financial performance was 4.96%, then in 2016 it decreased to 4.46%. In 2017 average financial performance experienced an increase to 6.11% in 2018 decreased again to 3.54%, then in 2019 the average financial performance continued to decline, namely to 3.30%.

Measurement of financial performance using ROA shows the company's operational ability to generate profits using its assets. High ROA shows the higher the company's ability to generate profits from its assets. This indicates that a good company's financial performance is directly proportional to a high ROA level (Hasanudin, Nurwulandari, Adnyana, & Loviana, 2020). Based on the results of Figure 2, it shows that ROA in manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2015-2019 has decreased, which means that the company's ability to generate profits is not good. There are several factors that can affect financial performance, including firm size, leverage and liquidity.

The purpose of this research was conducted to find out the influence of firm size, leverage and liquidity of the Company's performance with the dividend policy as an intervening variable in the Manufacturing companies listed on the Stock Exchange in 2015-2019.

Literature Review

Firm Size

Firm Size describes the size of a company in which large companies will find it easier to get loans from outside both in the form of debt and share capital because usually large companies will be accompanied by a fairly good reputation in the eyes of the community. The size of the company can determine the level of investor confidence, with the bigger the company, the more it will be known and recognized by the wider community, which means the easier it is to get information. Basically, the size of the company is only divided into categories, namely large, medium, and small (Hirdinis, 2019).

Leverage

Leverage is a company's ability to meet its financial obligations, both short-term and long-term. Leverage arises because the company in its operations uses assets and sources of funds that cause fixed expenses for the company. According to Huang and Shang (2019) the higher the leverage value shows that the amount of debt owned by the company is greater than the capital, so that the costs that must be borne by the company to fulfill obligations will be even greater, and have an impact on the decrease in the value of the company's profitability.

Liquidity

Liquidity is a ratio that shows a company's ability to meet short-term financial obligations on time (Dwiantari & Artini, 2021). Liquidity is the ability of a company to fulfill its short-term obligations as quickly as possible so that it is easier to get investment from investors. High liquidity will influence



investors to invest so that the demand for company shares will increase. Investors will be attracted to companies with good liquidity levels.

Company Performance

Definition of financial performance is tangible results that can be achieved and used to support the achievement of positive results by the company. Financial performance is the result of decisions based on an assessment of the company's ability both in terms of liquidity, activity, leverage solvency, and profitability made by management as one of the guidelines for describing the company's past financial condition and is used to predict future finances. (Edward & Simorangkir, 2020) The company's financial performance is part of management performance, namely a measure of efficiency and effectiveness in managing invested funds in order to provide maximum profit for managing companies and investors. This can happen if the company feels confident and has certainty that future earnings can be clearly predicted.

Dividend Policy Dividend

policy provides an opportunity to conduct research on how a company manages the interests of shareholders and creditors simultaneously. Dividends reduce shareholder concerns about a takeover by managers, on the other hand intensify creditors' concerns about shareholder takeovers. Dividend policy is a plan of action that must be followed in making dividend decisions. Dividend policy must be formulated for two basic elements of purpose by taking into account the maximization of wealth of company owners and sufficient financing (Triani & Tarmidi, 2019). Management must make a decision on how much share should be distributed in the form of dividends (Uzomah & Ihe, 2021). Dividend policy is a policy taken by company management to decide to pay part of the company's profits to shareholders rather than holding it as retained earnings to be reinvested in order to get capital gains. Capital gains are the capital gains a shareholder will receive if they reinvest their earnings over the long term. The decision of the management to determine the treatment of earnings after tax (EAT), whether it is distributed as dividends, reinvested, or partially distributed as dividends, partially reinvested in the company is called the dividend policy and the dividend percentage divided from earnings. After tax (EAT) is called the dividend payout ratio (Vágnerová & Horák, 2020).

Research Method

The population in this study were manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the period 2015 - 2019. The sampling technique in this study used a purposive sampling method. According to Campbell et al. (2020) purposive sampling is a technique with certain considerations. The reason for choosing the method is because this method can represent a sample that matches the characteristics of the sample with the sample selection criteria carried out. The sample in this study was selected based on the criteria that the Manufacturing company did not experience a loss during 2015 - 2019 and the Manufacturing company's financial statements are stated in rupiah units. Based on the above criteria, the companies that can be sampled in this study consist of 42 companies from 140 companies.

In this study, the dependent variable is company performance or company financial performance. using the formula return on assets (ROA). Independent variables, firm size or the size of the company using the formula of the natural log of total assets, the leverage using the formula debt to equity ratio (DER), liquidity or the liquidity of the company using the formula of the current ratio (CR). In this study, the intervening variable is dividend policy as measured by using the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Dividend policy is a decision regarding the profit generated by the company to be distributed to shareholders as dividends or to be retained in the form of retained earnings. for investment funding in the future (KANAKRIYAH, 2020). This study uses the classical assumption method and the Sobel Test.

Results And Discussion

Results

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics

Ket	ROA	DPR	Firm Size	DER	CR
N Valid	42	42	42	42	42
Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	0.0848	0.4293	26.39115	1.2128	1.8192
Median	0.065	0.2	27,899	0.812	1.28
	0.26291				
Std. Deviation	3.9376				0 04293
SIG. Deviation	0.8725				0.04275
	1.61263				
Variance	2.726	0.076	2,979	0.819	0.002
Minimum	0.01	0.05	16.58	0	0.54
Maximum	0.21	0.66	32.20	3.51	7.6

Table 1 can be seen that all variables in this study can be seen that all of them have an average value (mean) greater than the standard deviation value (Std. Deviation). So it can be concluded that the distribution of data from all variables in this study shows normal results and does not cause bias.

Normality test

Table 2

DPR Normality Test Results

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test				
		Unstandardized Residual		
Ν		42		
Normal Parameters ^{a, b}	Mean	.0000000		
	Std. Deviation	.56280594		
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.201		
	Positive	.201		
	Negative	081		
Test Statistic	-	.201		
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.000c		
a. Test distribution is Normal.				
b. Calculated from data.				
c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.				

Based on Table 2, it is known that the results of data normality testing using the method Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS). Obtained a significance value (Asymp.Sig 2-tailed) of 0.201. In relation to the significance value greater than 0.05 (0.201> 0.05), it is concluded that the data is normally distributed.

Based on Table 3, it is known that the results of data normality testing using the method Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS). Obtained a significance value (Asymp.Sig 2-tailed) of 0.200. In relation to the significance value greater than 0.05 (0.200> 0.05), it is concluded that the data is normally distributed.

Based on the calculation shown in the above table, the result tolerance value in above 0.1 and the value of VIF (Value Inflation Factor) below 10. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity among the independent variables.

Based on the calculation results shown in the table above, the tolerance value is above 0.1 and the VIF value (Value Inflation Factor) below 10. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity among the independent variables.



ROA Normality Unstandardized Residual N 42 Normal Parameters ^{a, b} Mean .000000 Std. Deviation 4.82645177 Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .060 Negative .101 Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 .200 .200 Lest Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .336 .22 .366 DER .732 1.366 .232 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0	Table 3					
One-Sample Kolmogorov-SmirnovTestTest N 42 Normal Parameterso. b Mean .000000 Std. Deviation 4.82645177 Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .069 Negative 101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Test .732 Calculated from data. .732 Mede VIF (Constant) Firm Size .732 CR .972 Source: Output SPSS (statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) Firm Size .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2.627 DPR .508 1.968 Table 6 DPR ttest .508 1.968	ROA Normality		-			
N 42 Normal Parametersa. b Mean .000000 Std. Deviation 4.82645177 Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .069 Positive .069 Negative .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF .242 (Constant) .732 Firm Size .732 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) Firm Size .827 DER .718 1 (Constant) Firm Size .827 DER .718 DER .718 1.126 .2627 DPR .508 DER .518 2.627 .968 DPR .508 DPR .508 DPR .508 Nodel <	One-Sample Kolmogor	ov-SmirnovTestTes	st		<u></u>	
Mean 0000000 Std. Deviation 4.82645177 Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .069 Negative 101 Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4	N				Unstandardiz	ed Residual
Std. Deviation 4.82645177 Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .069 Negative 101 Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. . Table 4 Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 . Table 5 . . ROA Multicollinearity Test . . Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) . . Firm Size	Normal Parameters ^{a, b}		Mean		.0000000	
Most Extreme Differences Absolute .101 Positive .069 Negative .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Tolerance VIF (Constant)			Std. Deviati	on	4.82645177	
Positive Negative .069 101 Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .1029 .200 Firm Size .732 1.366 DER .972 1.029 CR .412 2.426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test	Most Extreme Difference	es	Absolute		.101	
Negative 101 Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant)			Positive		.069	
Test .101 AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. .200 b. Calculated from data. .200 Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .366 Firm Size .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .508 1.728 DER .718 1.126 .508 1.968 Table 6 DPR .508 1.968 Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Standardized Light test			Negative		101	
AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-tailed) .200 a. Test distribution is Normal. b. Calculated from data. Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .126 .2426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test	Test		-		.101	
a. Test distribution is Normal. b. Calculated from data. Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) Firm Size 7.32 1,366 DER 972 1,029 CR 412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) Firm Size 827 1.728 DER 7.18 1.126 CR 5.118 2,627 DPR 5.08 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	AsympStatistic. Sig. (2-to	ailed)			.200	
b. Calculated from data. Table 4 Tolerance VIF Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model VIF 1 (Constant)	a. Test distribution is Nor	mal.				
Table 4 Tolerance VIF Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 Firm Size .972 1,029 CR .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant)	b. Calculated from date	a.				
Table 4 Test Multicolinearity Parliament Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .972 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test						
Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant)	Table 4					
Model Tolerance VIF (Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test	Test Multicolinearity Parl	liament				
(Constant) .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Unstandardized Coefficients Model B Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Standardized	Model		То	lerance	VIF	
Firm Size .732 1,366 DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 DER .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Unstandardized Coefficients Model terror Standardized Coefficients	(Constant)					
DER .972 1,029 CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968	Firm Size		.73	32	1,366	
CR .412 2,426 Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) Firm Size .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968	DER		.97	72	1,029	
Source: Output SPSS (Statistics Program for Social Science)25.0 Table 5 ROA Multicollinearity Test Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) 1.728 Firm Size .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Model B Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	CR		.4	12	2,426	
Table 5ROA Multicollinearity TestModelToleranceVIF1(Constant).8271.728DER.7181.126.627DER.5182,627DPR.5081,968Table 6Unstandardized CoefficientsModelBStd. ErrorStandardizedCoefficientsBStd. ErrorBetat	Source: Output SPSS (Ste	atistics Program fo	or Social Scienc	ce)25.0		
Table 5 Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Standardized B Std. Error Beta t Sig.						
Model Tolerance VIF 1 (Constant) .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Standardized Coefficients	Table 5					
ModelToleranceVIF1(Constant)Firm Size.827DER.718DER.718CR.518DPR.5081,968Table 6Unstandardized CoefficientsModelBStd. ErrorBetatSig.	ROA Multicollinearity Te	st				
1 (Constant) Firm Size .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Standardized Model B Standardized Coefficients Coefficients B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	Model		То	lerance	VIF	
Firm Size .827 1.728 DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	1 (Constar	ו†)				
DER .718 1.126 CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Model B Standardized Standardized Coefficients Beta t	Firm Size		.8	27	1.728	
CR .518 2,627 DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 Standardized Coefficients Standardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	DER		.7	18	1.126	
DPR .508 1,968 Table 6 DPR t test Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Standardized Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	CR		.5	18	2,627	
Table 6 DPR t test Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Coefficients Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	DPR		.50	28	1,968	
Table 6 DPR t test Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.						
DPR t test Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Coefficients Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.	Table 6					
Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Coefficients <u>Model B Std. Error Beta t Sig.</u>	DPR t test					
ModelBStd. ErrorBetatSig.				Standard	lized	
	Madal	Unstandardi	Std Error	Pota	+	Sia
1 (Constant) 4 104 1 724 4 700 100		D	1 724	Dela	4 700	<u>າງດີ</u>
I (CONSIGNIT) 0.100 1,730 4,729 .100 Firm Size 140 551 874 2745 490	Eirm Sizo	0.100	1,/30		4,/27	.100
NINT SIZE . 100 . JUL .		.160.331.8/0	140	380	3,/03 2 070	.40∠ ∩21
CP 206 237 045 3 421 428		200 206 237 06	• I 4 ∠ 5	.000	3,772	.021 128

Table 7

Test t ROA

		Coefficients u	Instandardized	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	.461 .870 .679				(Constar	nt) .100
	Firm Size	.556.757 .876		.126		.687
	DER	.328 .350		.410	2,346	.021
	CR	.816 .371.008			3,216	.651
	Parliament.	.305	263	.215	3.075	.000



Sobel Test Statistic: 0.08719234 One-Tailed Probability: 0.32948128 Two-Tailed Probability: 0.28492102

Figure 1 above Sobel test value one tailed probability that is equal to 0.617 value is greater than 0.05 means that the dividend policy can not affect firm size against ROA. Whereas in Figure 2 the single test above the one-tailed probability value, which is 0.02, the value is less than 0.05, which means that dividend policy can affect DER on ROA. Then in Figure 3 the single test above the one-tailed probability value is greater than 0.05, which means that dividend policy can affect DER on ROA. Then in Figure 3 the single test above the one-tailed probability value is greater than 0.05, which means that dividend policy can affect CR on ROA.

Effect of Firm Size on DPR

Company size has no significant effect on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). This means that the larger the company size, the smaller the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). An established company does not necessarily have easy access to the capital market because the risks faced by large companies are quite high. The large number of assets owned by large companies is not



necessarily a guarantee for making dividend payments to investors. The results of this study are in accordance with the research conducted by Septiani, Ariyani, and Ispriyahadi (2020) and Odum, Odum, Omeziri, and Egbunike (2019) which states that company size does not have a significant effect on the DPR.

Effect of Firm Size on ROA

In the above study, the results show that firm size has no effect on ROA. It can be concluded that the more maximum the company's assets, the maximum profit will be obtained, because the company's assets are used by the company for the company's operational activities which aim to generate profits. It can be said that the size of the company as measured by total assets cannot determine the company's financial performance. this is because the total assets owned do not run efficiently and produce high profitability. This is supported by Dyah (2020) which states that company size has no influence on ROA, while research conducted by Setiadharma and Machali (2017) concluded that company size has a positive effect on ROA, and research according to Fatihat (2021) states that company size has a negative effect. on financial performance.

The Effect of DER on-Dividend Policy

The above research shows that the results show that leverage has a positive effect on dividend policy. This states that each increase in the leverage will increase the dividend distribution. Based on the tests that have been done, the hypothesis which states that leverage has a positive effect on ROA is accepted. This indicates that leverage can measure the amount of dividends that will be distributed to shareholders. The higher the leverage, the higher the level of the company's ability to distribute dividends, so that the dividend payout ratio will be even higher. The results of this study are in line with research conducted by Sasongko (2019) that leverage has a positive effect on dividend policy.

Effect of DER on ROA

The results of this test indicate that DER has a significant and significant effect on ROA. The higher the value DER indicates that the amount of debt owned by the company is greater than the capital, so that the costs that must be borne by the company to fulfill obligations will be even greater, and have an impact on decreasing the value of the company's profitability. These results indicate that the size of the DER affects the fluctuation of the company's financial performance as proxies by ROA. The higher the DAR will affect the amount of a company's financial performance. The influence of DER on financial performance is due to the fact that in using debt as a source of funding, the company is able to handle the risks arising from the use of debt. With the ability to handle corporate debt, it can maximize the role of debt as a source of funding to generate profitability so that the company's financial performance is good. This is supported by research conducted by Ayuba, Bambale, Ibrahim, and Sulaiman (2019) which states that DER has an influence on ROA while research conducted by Hasanudin et al. (2020) states that DER has no effect on the company's financial performance. And the results of Kurniawan (2021) state that DER has a negative and insignificant effect on ROA.

The Effect of CR On The DPR

The results of the analysis show that the current ratio has no effect on dividend policy. This means that no matter how big or small the change in current ratio will not affect the level of the company's dividend policy. It is assumed that the high liquidity of the company is not used to pay cash dividends, but is allocated to purchase assets or use it for business expansion. In addition, high current debt will result in the company's operational activities being widely used to pay debts to third parties as a result, reducing the company's ability to obtain optimal net income which has an impact on dividend payments. Liquidity is indeed one of the factors that influence dividend policy, but that does not mean that the smooth payment of debt can conclude that the company will pay dividends. The results of this study are consistent with research conducted by Nurhikmawaty, Isnurhadi, and Widiyanti (2020) which states that the greater the overall cash position and liquidity of the company, the greater the company's ability to pay dividends.

The Effect of CR On ROA

The results of this test say that CR has an effect on ROA in manufacturing companies. The significant influence shows that the percentage of Current Ratio generated by the company will affect the company's ability to pay off its short-term debt. ratio A low current can be said that the company lacks capital to pay its short-term debt. However, if the Current Ratio is too high, it does not mean that the company is in good condition, because the company does not use cash effectively so there is a lot of idle cash. To say the condition of the company is good or not, there is a ratio standard that is used. This is in accordance with research conducted by Husna and Satria (2019), Bustani, Kurniaty, and Widyanti (2021), and Irman and Purwati (2020) which states that CR has a positive and significant effect on ROA. However, the results of this study are not in line with the research conducted by Nurlaela, Mursito, Kustiyah, Istiqomah, and Hartono (2019) which states that CR has no effect on ROA.

The Effect of ROA On The DPR

The results of this test show that ROA has an effect on the DPR. ROA is a ratio used to measure a company's ability to generate profits from investing in companies in the Consumer Goods Industry sector. Return On Asset (ROA) is a ratio used to measure a company's ability to generate profits from investing activities. This ratio is used to measure the ability of management to obtain profits (profits) as a whole. The greater the ROA, the greater the level of profit achieved by the company and the better the position of the company in terms of asset use. ROA is a ratio of net tax returns which also means a measure to assess how much the rate of return of assets owned by the company's operations are able to provide profits for the company. Conversely, if the ROA is negative, it shows that the total assets used are not profitable. In other words, the higher this ratio, the better the productivity of assets in obtaining net profits. This in turn will increase the company's attractiveness and increase the distribution of dividends to investors. This research is in line with research conducted by Ramadani and Jumono (2020), where the results of her research show that the return on assets variable has a significant effect on the dividend payout ratio variable.

Effect Of Firm Size on ROA Intervened by the DPR.

The results of the above research are: Firm size has no effect on firm value through dividend policy as an intervening variable. So it can be concluded that the size of the dividends distributed by the company cannot influence investors to invest. This is because the profits derived from the increase in share prices as a result of dividend payments will be offset by a decrease in share prices due to the sale of new shares. This is in line with research conducted by Sondakh (2019), Arsyad, Haeruddin, Muslim, and Pelu (2021), and Rahmadi (2020) who found that the intervening variable, namely dividend policy, cannot intervene in the relationship between firm size and ROA.

The Effect of DER on ROA Intervened by DPR

The above research found that DER has no effect on ROA through dividend policy as an intervening variable. This shows that the size of the dividend policy value cannot be a link between leverage and ROA. In addition, it can also be possible because the company continues to pay dividends with a small percentage when using relatively large debt to pay attention to the interests of creditors and holders. The results of this study are in line with research conducted by Bustani (2020), and Lamtiar et al. (2021), namely the intervening variable, namely dividend policy cannot intervene in the relationship between leverage and ROA.

The Effect of CR on ROA Intervened by DPR

Liquidity is the company's ability to pay off its short-term obligations, such as paying off debts and in terms of dividend payments. Dividends will be paid greater if the level of company liquidity is higher because dividends are cash outflows. Sufficient cash is not necessarily owned by companies with high profits, so if the company wants to distribute dividends, the company needs to have sufficient cash because dividends are generally distributed in the form of cash dividends



Susanti, N.; Nugraha, M, A, D.; Yaasmiin, N, N.; Maghfiroh, N.; and et al. (2021) The Influence of Firm Size ...

(Sari & Sedana, 2020). In companies with a high level of profitability and good liquidity, the amount of dividends to be distributed is also getting bigger (Zuhroh, 2019). The results of this study are in line with research conducted by Casriningrum, Hutomo, and Hikmah (2019), namely the intervening variable, namely dividend policy can intervene in the relationship between liquidity and ROA. To maintain the value of liquidity, companies need to manage current assets and current liabilities efficiently by selling inventory and collecting accounts receivable that are due, which will generate cash and be used to pay short-term obligations.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

Based on research firm size has no effect on ROA and DPR, leverage has an effect on ROA and DPR, while the liquidity variable has an effect on ROA and has no effect on the DPR. Then the firm size variable has no effect on firm value through dividend policy as an intervening variable. Then the variable leverage has no effect on ROA through dividend policy as an intervening variable. And after doing a sobel test of dividend policy that can affect CR on ROA.

Suggestion

- 1. If the company is going to pay dividends, it must pay attention to the net profit that the company can generate, and be able to manage its assets effectively and efficiently.
- 2. For investors who want to get dividends, you should pay attention to the company's financial ratios in choosing issuers, especially the level of profit earned by the company, cash availability, and the company's debt position.
- 3. For further research, it is better to use a broader variable considering that there are many other financial factors.
- 4. For further research, it is necessary to use different research samples such as companies engaged in retail, banking, and so on.

References

- Alshbili, I., Elamer, A. A., & Beddewela, E. (2019). Ownership types, corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosures: Empirical evidence from a developing country. Accounting Research Journal, 33(1), 148-166. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-03-2018-0060</u>
- Arsyad, M., Haeruddin, S. H., Muslim, M., & Pelu, M. F. A. (2021). The effect of activity ratios, liquidity, and profitability on the dividend payout ratio. *Indonesia Accounting Journal*, 3(1), 36-44. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.32400/iaj.30119</u>
- Ayuba, H., Bambale, A. J. a., Ibrahim, M. A., & Sulaiman, S. A. (2019). Effects of Financial Performance, Capital Structure and Firm Size on Firms' Value of Insurance Companies in Nigeria. Journal of Finance, Accounting and Management, 10(1), 57-74. Retrieved from <u>https://www.proquest.com/openview/a4d7c11f948f604f541ffa1f589e0e73/1?pq-</u> origsite=gscholar&cbl=2032035
- Bustani, B. (2020). The Effect Of Return On Assets (ROA), Net Profit Margin (NPM), Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) And Dividend Yield (DY) On Stock Prices In The Subsectors Insurance Company Listed In Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2015-2018. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, 1(3), 170-178. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.52728/ijtc.v1i3.113</u>
- Bustani, B., Kurniaty, K., & Widyanti, R. (2021). The Effect of Earning Per Share, Price to Book Value, Dividend Payout Ratio, and Net Profit Margin on the Stock Price in Indonesia Stock Exchange. Jurnal Maksipreneur: Manajemen, Koperasi, dan Entrepreneurship, 11(1), 1-18. Doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.30588/jmp.v11i1.810</u>
- Campbell, S., Greenwood, M., Prior, S., Shearer, T., Walkem, K., Young, S., . . . Walker, K. (2020). Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 25(8), 652-661. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1744987120927206</u>
- Casriningrum, C., Hutomo, P. T. P., & Hikmah, H. (2019). The Effect of Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, and Return on Assets on Firm Values With Dividend Policy as an Intervening Variable (Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017). Paper presented at the Proceeding: International Conference on Business, Economics and



© RIGEO • Review of Intern	national Geographical	Education	11(5), Spring 2021	
Governance	(ICBEG)-ISBN	978-602-14119-3-3.	Retrieved	from
http://feb.untags	mg.ac.id/proceedir	ng/index.php/icbeg/articl	e/view/31	

Dwiantari, R. A., & Artini, L. G. S. (2021). The Effect of Liquidity, Leverage, and Profitability on Financial Distress (Case Study of Property and Real Estate Companies on the IDX 2017-2019). American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research (AJHSSR), 5(1), 367-373. Retrieved from <u>https://www.ajhssr.com/wpcontent/uploads/2021/01/ZT21501367373.pdf</u>

- Dyah, A. P. (2020). The Influence of Global Financial Crisis and Good Corporate Governance Mechanisms on Earning Management (Study of Property and Real Estate Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2008-2018). Paper presented at the PROCEEDING THE 2nd INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BUSINESS, ACCOUNTING AND ECONOMICS (The 2nd ICBAE 2020). Retrieved from http://digital.library.ump.ac.id/id/eprint/853
- Edward, Y. R., & Simorangkir, E. N. (2020). Effect of Current Ratio and Debt to Equity on Price to Book Value with Return on Equity as an Intervening Variable in the Consumer Goods Industry Sector in Companies Listed on the IDX in the Period 2016-2018. Journal of Research in Business, Economics, and Education, 2(5), 1121-1131. Retrieved from <u>https://ejournal.stie-kusumanegara.ac.id/index.php/jrbee/article/view/169</u>
- Fatihat, G. G. (2021). The Effect of the Employee Stock Ownership Program and Growth on Return On Equity (Case Studies in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015-2019). Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT), 12(8), 1267-1275. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.17762/turcomat.v12i8.3044</u>
- Gârleanu, N., Panageas, S., & Yu, J. (2020). Impediments to financial trade: Theory and applications. The Review of Financial Studies, 33(6), 2697-2727. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz095
- Hasanudin, H., Nurwulandari, A., Adnyana, I. M., & Loviana, N. (2020). The effect of ownership and financial performance on firm value of oil and gas mining companies in Indonesia. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 10(5), 103-109. Doi:https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.9567
- Hirdinis, M. (2019). Capital structure and firm size on firm value moderated by profitability. International Journal of Economics & Business Administration (IJEBA), 7(1), 174-191. Retrieved from <u>https://www.um.edu.mt/library/oar/handle/123456789/43966</u>
- Huang, K., & Shang, C. (2019). Leverage, debt maturity, and social capital. Journal of Corporate Finance, 54, 26-46. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.11.001</u>
- Husna, A., & Satria, I. (2019). Effects of return on asset, debt to asset ratio, current ratio, firm size, and dividend payout ratio on firm value. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 9(5), 50-54. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.8595</u>
- Irman, M., & Purwati, A. A. (2020). Analysis On The Influence Of Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio and Total Asset Turnover Toward Return On Assets On The Otomotive and Component Company That Has Been Registered In Indonesia Stock Exchange Within 2011-2017. International Journal of Economics Development Research (IJEDR), 1(1), 36-44. Doi:https://doi.org/10.37385/ijedr.v1i1.26
- KANAKRIYAH, R. (2020). Dividend policy and companies' financial performance. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics, and Business, 7(10), 531-541. Doi:https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no10.531
- Kurniawan, A. (2021). Analysis of the effect of return on asset, debt to equity ratio, and total asset turnover on share return. Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research, 2(1), 64-72. Doi:https://doi.org/10.7777/jiemar.v2i1.114
- Lamtiar, S., Arnas, Y., Rusdiyanto, A. A., Kalbuana, N., Prasetyo, B., Kurnianto, B., ... Utami, S. (2021). Liquidity Effect, Profitability Leverage to Company Value: A Case Study Indonesia. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, 7(11), 2800-2822. Retrieved from https://ejmcm.com/article 6290.html
- Murniati, S., Mus, H. A. R., Semmaila, H. B., & Nur, A. N. (2019). Effect of investment decisions, financing decisions and dividend policy on profitability and value of the firm. *International Journal of Accounting & Finance in Asia Pasific (IJAFAP),* 2(1), 1-10. Doi:https://doi.org/10.32535/ijafap.v2i1.359
- Nurhikmawaty, D., Isnurhadi, & Widiyanti, M. (2020). The Effect of Debt to Equity Ratio and Return on Equity on Stock Return with Dividend Policy as Intervening Variables in Subsectors

Property and Real Estate on Bei. Open Journal of Business and Management, 08(05), 2148-2161. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2020.85131</u>

- Nurlaela, S., Mursito, B., Kustiyah, E., Istiqomah, I., & Hartono, S. (2019). Asset Turnover, Capital Structure and Financial Performance Consumption Industry Company in Indonesia Stock Exchange. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 9(3), 297-301. Doi:https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.8185
- Odum, A. N., Odum, C. G., Omeziri, R. I., & Egbunike, C. F. (2019). Impact of dividend payout ratio on the value of firm: A study of companies listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Indonesian Journal of Contemporary Management Research, 1(1), 25-34. Doi:https://doi.org/10.33455/ijcmr.v1i1.84
- Rahmadi, Z. T. (2020). THE INFLUENCE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT, CURRENT RATIO, DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO, EARNING PER SHARE, AND FIRM SIZE TO THE DIVIDEND PAY OUT RATIO IN BANKING INDUSTRIES LISTED AT INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE PERIOD 2013-2018. Dinasti International Journal of Digital Business Management, 1(2), 260-276. Doi:https://doi.org/10.31933/dijdbm.v1i2.157
- Ramadani, D., & Jumono, S. (2020). Analysis of Cash Position Effect, Debt to Equity Ratio, Return on Assets, And Loan to Deposit Ratio, Net Call Money Over Pay-out Ratio Dividends (Case Study of Banking Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2012-2018). *Journal* of *Multidisciplinary* Academic, 4(3), 176-182. Retrieved from http://www.kemalapublisher.com/index.php/JoMA/article/view/464
- Ramlah, R. (2021). The Effect of Profitability and Leverage on Stock Return of Food and Beverage Companies. Point of View Research Management, 2(2), 139-150. Retrieved from <u>http://journal.accountingpointofview.id/index.php/POVREMA/article/view/145</u>
- Robinson, T. R. (2020). International Financial Statement Analysis: Wiley. Retrieved from https://books.google.com.pk/books?id=Q7nEDwAAQBAJ
- Sari, I. A. G. D. M., & Sedana, I. B. P. (2020). Profitability and liquidity on firm value and capital structure as intervening variable. International research journal of management, IT and Social Sciences, 7(1), 116-127. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v7n1.828</u>
- Sasongko, B. (2019). The Effect of Debt Equity Ratio, Dividend Payout Ratio, and Profitability on the Firm Value. The International Journal of Business Management and Technology, 3(5), 104-109. Retrieved from <u>https://www.theijbmt.com/archive/0929/1753583424.pdf</u>
- Septiani, M., Ariyani, N., & Ispriyahadi, H. (2020). The effect of stock prices, return on assets, and firm size on dividend payout ratio: evidence from Indonesian financial service companies. Diponegoro International Journal of Business, 3(1), 17-27. Doi:https://doi.org/10.14710/dijb.3.1.2020.17-27
- Setiadharma, S., & Machali, M. (2017). The effect of asset structure and firm size on firm value with capital structure as intervening variable. *Journal of Business & Financial Affairs*, 6(4), 1-5. Doi:https://www.doi.org/10.4172/2167-0234.1000298
- Sondakh, R. (2019). The effect of dividend policy, liquidity, profitability and firm size on firm value in financial service sector industries listed in Indonesia stock exchange 2015-2018 period. Accountability, 8(2), 91-101. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.32400/ja.24760.8.2.2019.91-101</u>
- Triani, N., & Tarmidi, D. (2019). Firm value: impact of investment decisions, funding decisions and dividend policies. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences, 9(2), 158-163. Doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARAFMS/v9-i2/6107</u>
- Uzomah, I. A., & Ihe, J. (2021). Dividend policy as determinant for investment decision. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, 12, 192-201. Retrieved from https://cejsr.academicjournal.io/index.php/journal/article/view/539
- Vágnerová, E., & Horák, J. (2020). The Results of Dividend Policy Tools Can Be Surprising. Paper presented at the Innovative Economic Symposium. Doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60929-0_112</u>
- Zuhroh, I. (2019). The Effects of Liquidity, Firm Size, and Profitability on the Firm Value with Mediating Leverage. Paper presented at the KnE Social Sciences / The 2nd International Conference on Islamic Economics, Business, and Philanthropy (2nd ICIEBP). Doi:https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i13.4206

NC-30